12/21/69

ler, E. L.

or Paul and Gary,

For whatever interest it may have, her is an ad from today's hington Post on his (ugh!) "show". The quality of the show does not rant this expenditure, nor does this station lavish money advertising shows, most of which are reruns of former net shows. So, I presume, in, there is subsidy, which again means Schick.

Note the cute use of the word "Revolutionary". He calls himself t now. The use here suggests he is, the show is, and it says the show "revolutionary TV". It is in no sense, except the overall low quality content- that may be "revolutionary".

There is no way I can tell from the local retroadcasts which show being aired in terms of L. A. appearance. If they work it as they do the e show, then tonights was aired two weeks ago in LA, went to NY and from re was sent here.

By accident I heard last night he was travelling in liberal circles N.O. in 1967 - integrationist. Someone from there mentioned it, having been that movement. No more unlikely place for him. Then this person also told story of the apparent closeness with which the State Unamericans were able follow there activities, and I could not but wonder.

I've done the new last chapter of the COUP add and will be sending e NCR copy to Paul first, same injunctions, same requests (esp re Freedom fo bill). Until I can get it xeroxed, I cannot provide a copy fit to xerox, r my legal-sized NCR paper is out of date and makes fuzzy copiesm as I ned when I copied a set for my agent...Call from Hal 7 a.m. this a.m. with ief message I presume not new to Paul and still cryptic to me save for nfirmation of my hunch on the possible uses of COUP (all) contents...COUP) now carded for index through p. 291, if any of you has any interest in cating anything in it. Best to everyone, and hopes for good holiday.